







UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/112,276	07/09/1998	TSĘ HO KEUNG		6721
75	90 09/30/2002			
HO KEUNG TSE P O BOX 70492 KLN CENTRAL POST OFFICE,			EXAMINER	
			BARRON JR, GILBERTO	
HONG KONG			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2132	25
			DATE MAILED: 09/30/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



		Application No.	blicant(s)			
Advisory Action		09/112,276	HO KEUNG, TSE			
	·	Examiner	Art Unit			
_		Gilberto Barrón Jr.	2132			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
There final recondit	REPLY FILED 26 September 2002 FAILS TO PLA fore, further action by the applicant is required to a ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 ion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced to the control of	cation. A proper reply to a			
	PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) [The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing					
b) [2	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).	later than SIX MONTHS from the maili S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF 1	ng date of the final rejection. THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPER	•		
fee hav fee und (2) as s	tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period ler 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offiled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (a)	of extension and the corresponding am the shortened statutory period for replice ice later than three months after the ma	ount of the fee. The appropriate extended of the fee.	ension		
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	s Brief must be filed within the pR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.			
2.🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:				
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);			
) \(\text{ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note)}		,			
(c	they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying	the		
(d) \(\square\) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
	NOTE: <u>SEE ATTACHMENT</u> .					
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):				
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	I be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendm	ent		
5.						
6.	<u> </u>					
7.⊠	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
	Claim(s) allowed: NONE.					
	Claim(s) objected to: NONE.					
	Claim(s) rejected: 1-22.					
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8.	The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Examiner.			
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme					
	Other:	· // · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>			
	and Trademark Office		Gilberto Barrón Jr. Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2132			



Application/Control Number: 09/112,276

Art Unit: 2132

Response to Amendment

The proposed amendments are all new issues that have not been previously considered.

The proposed amendments do not materially reduce the issues for appeal. The rewording of the claims do not improve the issues for appeal, but instead complicate matters in ascertaining whether the same limitations or new issues are being presented

Response to Arguments

This Response will try to follow the order of points raised by Applicant in the paper filed September 26, 2002.

- 1) All amendments submitted from March 6, 2001 to present have been entered and fully considered. There are currently 22 pending claims (numbered form 1 to 22) in the instant application.
- 2) The drawing, showing Figure 1 and 2, has been accepted.
- 3) The term "favourable" does not have an accepted definition and remains indefinite in the context of the claims.
- 4) A copy of claims 20-22 is included to show the claims as currently pending.
- 5) Applicant has included an argument directed to Yuval et al. However, there is no rejection over this reference.
- 6) Haas et al. qualifies as prior art under 102(e). However, the claims are rejected under 103(a) as obvious and not 102, anticipation. Applicant's arguments are directed to the individual references. The portion entitled "Argument for overcoming Haas et al." is not



Application/Control Number: 09/112,276

Art Unit: 2132

persuasive because it does not address the combination or obviousness rejection over the references of Wiedemer and Haas.

- 7) The argument regarding the Haas reference is not persuasive as it argues claim indefiniteness and not the disclosure or teachings of Haas as cited in the rejection.
- 8) Applicant's argument that the limitation "without causing a electronic commerce operation being performed" is not persuasive since Haas teaches an enabling electronic commerce operation for which a rightful user has to be responsible for without causing an electronic commerce operation from being performed, i.e., the display of a credit card number. This enables an electronic commerce operation without causing it to occur.
- 9) The argument that the prior art does not disclose the identity information is not persuasive as Wiedemer is cited as disclosing that element in the grounds of rejection.
- 10) Claims 9 and 11 are indefinite as it is not clear how the computer readable medium being in the form of a data signal embodied in a carrier wave can function to store the recited identity program code.
- 11) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gilberto Barrón Jr. whose telephone number is (703) 305-1830. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays thru Thursdays from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

The fax phone number for OFFICIAL responses for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 746-7239.

Application/Control Number: 09/112,276

Art Unit: 2132

The fax phone number for AFTER FINAL responses for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 746-7238.

The fax phone number for DRAFT proposals for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 746-7240

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

GILBERTO BARRON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100